**FILED** 

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

MAR 11 2008

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS MOLLY DWYER, ACTING CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

EMMANUEL GUERRERO ELEAZAR,

Petitioner,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 07-70404

Agency No. A72-438-327

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted February 26, 2008\*\*

Before: BEEZER, FERNANDEZ, and McKEOWN, Circuit Judges.

Emmanuel Guerrero Eleazar, a native and citizen of the Philippines, petitions pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

his appeal from an immigration judge's ("IJ") decision pretermitting his application for cancellation of removal. We dismiss the petition for review.

We lack jurisdiction to review Eleazar's contention that the IJ violated his due process rights by not allowing his wife to testify, and by concluding Eleazar lacked the requisite good moral character because he gave false testimony to an immigration interviewer. *See Barron v. Ashcroft*, 358 F.3d 674, 678 (9th Cir. 2004) (explaining that this court lacks jurisdiction to review procedural due process claims not raised before the agency).

## PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.